IMPACT OF UZBEK LANGUAGE STRUCTURE ON ENGLISH GRAMMAR ACQUISITION

Masrurova Kamolaxon
Master's Degree Student in English Linguistics
Turan International University, Namangan, Uzbekistan
masrurovak@gmail.com

Ergashev Rasulbek
Master's Degree in English Linguistics Professor of English Linguistics
Turan International University, Namangan, Uzbekistan
r.ergashev@tiu-edu.uz

ABSTRACT

Language acquisition, a multifaceted process shaped by linguistic diversity, cognitive mechanisms, and socio-cultural factors, is investigated in this study with a focus on English grammar acquisition among Uzbek learners. The analysis delves into the intricate dynamics influenced by Uzbek language structures, examining syntactic features, verb morphology, and pluralization patterns. The research uncovers nuanced challenges in mastering English grammar, revealing disparities between Uzbek and English syntactic structures, necessitating cognitive adaptation. English verb morphology complexity poses hurdles in tense forms and auxiliary usage, while pluralization patterns and phonological distinctions present additional challenges. Tailored instructional strategies, linguistic support, and cultural immersion experiences are highlighted as crucial for English language development among Uzbek learners. The findings emphasize the need for inclusive pedagogical practices, fostering cross-cultural understanding and advocating for linguistic diversity in education. This research contributes to the discourse on language acquisition, pedagogy, cultural understanding, and educational policy, promoting inclusive learning environments that nurture linguistic growth and intercultural competence in the pursuit of a more interconnected and harmonious world.

Keywords: Language acquisition, English grammar, Uzbek learners, Linguistic diversity, Syntactic features, Verb morphology, Pluralization patterns, Cognitive adaptation, Tailored instructional strategies, Cultural immersion experiences, Inclusive pedagogical practices

INTRODUCTION

Language, serving as the cornerstone of human interaction, extends beyond mere communication to embody the essence of societal and cultural exchange. The intricate tapestry of linguistic diversity encompasses unique grammatical structures, phonological nuances, and semantic intricacies, shaping the communicative landscape of its speakers. This process of language acquisition involves a complex interplay between innate cognitive mechanisms and environmental influences (Corballis, 2017).

Corballis emphasizes the unequivocal significance of language in human life, functioning as a conduit for the conveyance and comprehension of thoughts, emotions, and ideas. Whether

acquiring a first language or mastering subsequent ones, language acquisition is a multifaceted journey intertwined with cognitive development and socio-cultural contexts.

In second language acquisition, principles like Universal Grammar (UG) by Chomsky lay a theoretical foundation, but scholars like White (1989) stress additional factors like exposure to varied linguistic input and diverse learning mechanisms as indispensable. This complexity is particularly evident in English grammar acquisition by Uzbek speakers, where the fusion of structures from two distinct language systems involves dynamic interplay between linguistic transfer and cognitive adaptation. Ergashev's research (2020), focusing on Uzbek language instruction, found compelling evidence supporting the prevalence of grammar-based teaching methods in most Uzbek classes. This underscores the importance of proper grammar instruction in language learning. The article, by delving into these complexities, aims to contribute valuable insights to the pedagogical discourse surrounding language instruction and proficiency development in diverse linguistic contexts.

BACKGROUND

The process of second language acquisition, specifically English by Uzbek speakers, involves a complex interplay of linguistic structures, cognitive processes, and socio-cultural influences. Uzbek, a Turkic language with unique features, shapes the acquisition journey through linguistic transfer and cognitive adaptation. Rooted in Central Asia, Uzbek carries historical legacies and cultural identities, with its grammatical and phonological intricacies influencing learners. English, as a global lingua franca, presents challenges and opportunities with its analytic structure. The influence of Uzbek language structures, including phonological distinctions and morphological richness, is significant in shaping English acquisition. Socio-cultural factors like educational policies and language ideologies play a crucial role (Krashen, 1982). Additionally, the debate on the role of the native language in second language acquisition continues, emphasizing the need for further exploration into linguistic transfer and cognitive processing (Krashen, 1985), (Swain, 1985), (Bialystok, 2001). Ergashev's research in 2024, therefore, suggests a shift towards student-tailored instruction in Uzbek language classes, aligning with contemporary learning theories and modern language teaching approaches. This approach recognizes the individual needs and learning styles of students, promoting a more effective and engaging language learning experience.

The research aims to deepen understanding and inform pedagogical practices for effective language learning and cross-cultural communication in diverse educational settings.

SIGNIFICANCE

The article's significance lies in its thorough exploration of how Uzbek language structures impact the acquisition of English grammar, offering implications for educators, researchers, policymakers, and language learners. The pedagogical significance underscores the need to understand these influences for effective language teaching methodologies isnd curriculum design. Educators can use insights to tailor instructional strategies, enhancing proficiency and fluency for Uzbek-speaking learners. Additionally, the study contributes to cultural understanding, fostering cross-cultural appreciation and empathy by examining the interplay between Uzbek and English grammar. The cognitive insights provided illuminate the link

between language acquisition and cognitive development, offering valuable information for cognitive linguistics theories. Lastly, the research's findings can inform educational policies, advocating for inclusive and culturally responsive pedagogical approaches to promote linguistic equity and social justice in diverse educational settings.

OBJECTIVES

The study aims to investigate linguistic transfer by exploring how the grammatical structures of Uzbek influence the acquisition of English grammar in Uzbek-speaking learners. It also seeks to examine cognitive processes, including perceptual sensitivities, phonemic categorization, and syntactic preferences, underlying the acquisition of English grammar by speakers of Uzbek. Additionally, the research aims to identify pedagogical implications by assessing how linguistic transfer influences language teaching methodologies, curriculum design, and instructional strategies for enhancing English language proficiency among Uzbek-speaking learners. The study intends to foster cross-cultural understanding by emphasizing cultural and linguistic contexts that shape the acquisition of English grammar among speakers of Uzbek. Lastly, it aims to contribute to educational policy by providing evidence-based insights to inform policies related to language instruction, assessment practices, and support services for language learners from diverse linguistic backgrounds.

In essence, this article paves the way for an in-depth exploration of the complexities surrounding the acquisition of English grammar by Uzbek-speaking learners. The study, recognizing language as a crucial element in human interaction and cultural exchange, investigates the intricate journey of language acquisition, emphasizing its intricate interplay with cognitive development and socio-cultural contexts. The groundwork laid here holds significant implications for pedagogy, cultural understanding, cognitive linguistics, and educational policy. As the focus shifts to the upcoming literature review and discussions, the insights gleaned from the meticulous examination of Uzbek language structures and their impact on English grammar acquisition will provide a solid foundation for a nuanced analysis. This contribution aims to enhance the broader discourse on language acquisition, contributing valuable insights to our understanding of effective language instruction in diverse linguistic contexts.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The process of acquiring English grammar by speakers of Uzbek is a complex journey influenced by various linguistic, cognitive, and socio-cultural factors. Understanding the impact of Uzbek language structures on English grammar acquisition and the difficulties encountered by Uzbek learners is crucial for developing effective language teaching methodologies and fostering linguistic proficiency.

Bley-Vroman's (1989) seminal work on the logical problem of second language acquisition provides a comprehensive framework for understanding the challenges inherent in learning a second language. By delving into the cognitive processes involved in language acquisition, Bley-Vroman elucidates the complexities faced by learners as they navigate linguistic transfer, cognitive restructuring, and interlanguage development. His theoretical insights underscore the dynamic interplay between universal cognitive mechanisms and language-specific

constraints, shedding light on the mechanisms underlying language learning difficulties and variability among learners.

Brown's research in 1993 on the role of first language (L1) grammar in the second language (L2) acquisition of segmental structure offers valuable insights into the transfer of linguistic knowledge between languages. By examining the influence of L1 phonological and grammatical features on L2 acquisition, Brown highlights the interconnectedness of linguistic systems and the challenges posed by linguistic transfer. His findings underscore the need for pedagogical approaches that address learners' L1 backgrounds and facilitate the integration of new linguistic structures into their existing cognitive frameworks.

The grammatical structures of languages serve as the backbone of linguistic expression, and understanding their similarities and differences is essential for effective language acquisition. When comparing Uzbek and English, learners encounter a myriad of contrasts and overlaps that significantly shape their journey towards mastering English grammar.

The fundamental linguistic distinction between Uzbek and English resides in their sentence structures, specifically word order. English adheres to a relatively fixed Subject-Verb-Object (SVO) sequence, while Uzbek exhibits greater flexibility. In Uzbek, a consistent rule involves placing words modifying the subject before the subject and those modifying the predicate before the predicate. This stands in stark contrast to the strict SVO structure of English, where alterations are typically reserved for specific purposes such as inversion, emphasis, or literary nuances.

For example, the English sentence "My teacher explained me the topic clearly yesterday" translates into Uzbek in various valid forms, highlighting the language's flexibility. In English, this sentence has a fixed structure, whereas in Uzbek, the order can change naturally or serve a specific function, potentially leading to misinterpretation.

Compare the English and Uzbek versions of the same sentence:

My teacher explained me the topic clearly yesterday.

My teacher - Mening ustozim, to explain - tushuntirmoq , me - menga, topic - mavzu, clearly – aniq qilib, yesterday - kecha.

1. Kecha mening ustozim menga mavzuni aniq qilib tushuntirib berdi. (Most common)

2. Mening ustozim kecha menga mavzuni aniq qilib tushuntirib berdi. (Really close meaning)

3. a) Kecha mening ustozim mavzuni menga aniq qilib tushuntirib berdi. (Slightly emphasizing me)

 b) Kecha mening ustozim mavzuni aniq qilib menga tushuntirib berdi. (Mainly emphasizing me)

4. Mening ustozim menga mavzuni aniq qilib kecha tushuntirib berdi. (Emphasing yesterday)

5. Kecha mavzuni aniq qilib menga ustozim tushuntirib berdi. (Emphasizing the teacher)

As evident from the examples provided, Uzbek exhibits a greater degree of flexibility in word order, which may pose challenges for speakers of other languages. In Uzbek, the positioning of words immediately preceding the predicate allows for emphasis, akin to the usage of cleft clauses in English. However, none of these possible structures precisely align with the conventional sentence structure in English. This presents a notable challenge for Uzbek students learning English, as they consistently need to reorganize the word order within a sentence before effectively expressing it in English.

Moreover, Uzbek grammar introduces distinctive features, such as the absence of an auxiliary before the subject in general questions, relying instead on verb suffixes. This characteristic aligns with the agglutinative nature of Uzbek, contributing to fundamental divergences from English and necessitating additional considerations in the learning process.

Another challenge arises in the positioning of adverbs, which in English can vary widely in placement for nuanced meaning. In Uzbek, adverbs maintain more consistent positions, offering less variability in their placement. This discrepancy adds another layer of complexity for Uzbek learners of English, requiring them to adapt to the diverse adverbial placements in English.

The second noteworthy concern pertains to the considerable divergence in verb conjugation patterns between Uzbek and English. In Uzbek, the suffixes indicating subject-verb agreement exhibit distinct variations for the first and second person, singular and plural, with a tendency to largely dissipate in the third person. This intricacy makes it challenging to convey to young Uzbek learners the English phenomenon where verbs take "-s" after pronouns like he, she, and it. Given the absence of a comparable feature in Uzbek, students often need to memorize such patterns.

A comparative analysis of the present tense verb forms exemplifies this contrast:

English:
- I go
- You go
- We go
- They go
- He goes
- She goes
- It goes

Uzbek:
- (I) Men boraman.
- (You, singular) Sen borasan
- (You, singular polite/plural) Siz borasiz
- (You, plural) Sizlar borasizlar.
- (He/She/It) U boradi.
- (They) Ular boradilar.

This juxtaposition reveals that Uzbek verb conjugation aligns more closely with the usage of "to be" (am/is/are) in English, albeit with additional variations. However, the complexity of the third person persists, as it is customary in many accents to append the plural suffix "-lar", equivalent of "-s" for plural, to the third person singular verb to convey respect. Notably, the omission of the plural form suffix does not alter the meaning, introducing a nuanced challenge for learners. For instance, "My father read" may transform into "My father reads" to convey respect, whereas "my relatives come" and "my relatives comes" can be used interchangeably, illustrating a unique aspect of Uzbek that can pose challenges for young English learners at the outset of their language acquisition journey.

The third notable distinction lies in the treatment of plural forms of nouns. In Uzbek, the plural form is relatively straightforward, employing a single suffix, "-lar." However, in English, the plural suffix "s" undergoes morphological changes based on the specific word, resulting in variations such as "-es" and "-ves." Furthermore, the application of the Uzbek plural suffix is largely optional, introducing a layer of complexity. This nuance particularly impacts the understanding of uncountable nouns or inherently plural forms, creating challenges for Uzbek speakers in learning English.

Uncountable nouns, which do not typically have a distinct plural form in English, can pose difficulties for Uzbek learners. The habit of adding "-lar" to uncountable nouns, such as "news," "information," "advice," "money," "bread," etc., is a common practice among Uzbek speakers. This practice aligns with Uzbek grammar but deviates from English conventions. While acceptable in one's native language, this tendency complicates the learning process for Uzbek speakers as they grapple with the nuanced rules surrounding pluralization in English. Thus, educators need to address this divergence in pluralization patterns to enhance the language acquisition experience for Uzbek learners.

In navigating these grammatical structure similarities and differences, Uzbek learners must reconcile their linguistic background with the demands of English grammar acquisition. By recognizing and addressing these challenges through targeted instructional strategies and linguistic scaffolding, educators can empower Uzbek learners to navigate the intricacies of English grammar effectively.

In conclusion, the acquisition of English grammar by Uzbek speakers is a complex and multifaceted journey that is influenced by various linguistic, cognitive, and socio-cultural factors. The challenges encountered by Uzbek learners underscore the necessity for nuanced pedagogical approaches that take into account the unique linguistic features of Uzbek and broader theoretical frameworks in second language acquisition.

The grammatical distinctions between Uzbek and English, encompassing aspects such as sentence structures, word order, verb conjugation, adverb placement, and noun pluralization, pose significant challenges for Uzbek learners. The flexibility of Uzbek word order, distinct conjugation patterns, and the optional use of plural suffixes further contribute to the complexities faced by learners. Recognizing these challenges, it becomes imperative to implement tailored instructional strategies that consider the specific linguistic characteristics of Uzbek. Educators must adeptly navigate linguistic transfer and cognitive adaptation to foster effective language learning.

CONCLUSION

In the intricate tapestry of linguistic diversity, the journey of Uzbek learners in acquiring English grammar unfolds against the backdrop of rich linguistic structures, cognitive processes, and socio-cultural influences. Through a comprehensive exploration of syntactic structures, verb morphology, pluralization patterns, and phonological distinctions, this study sheds light on the multifaceted challenges and dynamic interplay shaping the acquisition process.

The findings underscore the profound impact of Uzbek language structures on the acquisition of English grammar, illuminating the complexities inherent in linguistic transfer and

cognitive adaptation. The contrast between Uzbek and English syntactic structures, verb morphology, and pluralization patterns highlights the cognitive flexibility required for Uzbek learners to navigate the intricacies of English grammar effectively.

Furthermore, the study underscores the importance of targeted instructional strategies, linguistic scaffolding, and cultural immersion programs in supporting Uzbek learners' language development. By recognizing the nuances of Uzbek-English language transfer and providing tailored interventions, educators can empower Uzbek learners to overcome linguistic barriers and achieve proficiency in English communication.

The significance of this research extends beyond the realm of language acquisition, encompassing broader implications for pedagogy, cultural understanding, cognitive development, and educational policy. By fostering cross-cultural appreciation, promoting empathy, and advocating for inclusive pedagogical practices, educators can create supportive learning environments conducive to linguistic growth and intercultural competence.

As we navigate the complexities of language acquisition in an increasingly interconnected world, it is imperative to embrace linguistic diversity, celebrate cultural richness, and foster mutual understanding across linguistic and cultural boundaries. By embracing the insights gleaned from this study, educators, policymakers, and stakeholders can contribute to the promotion of linguistic equity, social justice, and inclusive education for all learners.

REFERENCES

1. Bialystok, E. (2001). Bilingualism in Development: Language, Literacy, and Cognition. Cambridge University Press.
2. Bley-Vroman, R. (1989). What is the logical problem of foreign language learning? In S. Gass & J. Schachter (Eds.), Linguistic Perspectives on Second Language Acquisition (pp. 41–68). Cambridge University Press.
3. Brown, H. D. (1993). Principles of Language Learning and Teaching (3rd ed.). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
4. Cook, V. J. (1985). Chomsky's universal grammar and second language learning. Applied linguistics, 6(1), 2-18.
5. Corballis, M. C. (2017). Language evolution: a changing perspective. Trends in cognitive sciences, 21(4), 229-236.
6. Corballis, M. C. (2017). The truth about language: what it is and where it came from. University of Chicago Press.
7. Kelieva, D., Tojiboyev, M., Valijaniv, Sh., Mirzayeva, Z., Ergashev, R. (2020). How to develop the teaching system of English to Esol teachers and students. ACADEMICIA: An International Multidisciplinary Research Journal, 10(2), 216–227
8. Krashen, S. (1982). Principles and Practice in Second Language Acquisition. Pergamon.
9. Krashen, S. (1985). The Input Hypothesis: Issues and Implications. Longman.
10. Rasulbek, E. (2024). INTERSECTING LEARNING THEORIES AND TEACHING APPROACHES IN UZBEKISTAN'S LANGUAGE CLASSROOMS: A HOLISTIC EXPLORATION. American Journal Of Social Sciences And Humanity Research, 4(01), 94-109.

11. Swain, M. (1985). Communicative competence: Some roles of comprehensible input and comprehensible output in its development. In S. M. Gass & C. G. Madden (Eds.), Input in Second Language Acquisition (pp. 235–253). Newbury House.

12. White, L. (1992). Universal grammar: Is it just a new name for old problems. Language transfer in language learning, 5, 217-232.

13. Yang, C., Crain, S., Berwick, R. C., Chomsky, N., & Bolhuis, J. J. (2017). The growth of language: Universal Grammar, experience, and principles of computation. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 81, 103-119.