

UTILIZATION OF PRESENTATION PRACTICE PRODUCTION STRATEGY IN INSTRUCTING ENGLISH

Abduraxmonov Komiljon Mamurjon ugli

English Language Teacher in Primary Education Department

Uzbek State World Language University

ANNOTATION

This article describes data almost a ponder that points to utilize unused strategy PPP amid the lessons and give openings for learners to utilize the target structure. Understanding of PPP strategy, preferences of PPP strategy and creating of this unused strategy.

Keywords: ppp, unused strategy, generation, introduction, detached abilities, system, organize, lesson structure.

INTRODUCTION

An English dialect instructing strategy called 3P is primarily instructed in TEFL courses. In this strategy, the input is taken in little chunks, which in this manner ended up a entire. Precision is more centered than familiarity. This can be the same as a deductive approach to learning where instructors clarify a point in detail at the starting of the lesson, after which students can do related activities. Presentation-Practice-Production, or PPP, could be a strategy of educating structures (such as linguistic use or lexicon) in remote dialect. As the title proposes, PPP is partitioned into three stages, moving from tight instructor control to for more noteworthy understudy flexibility. If it's not too much trouble note that a few creators utilize the title to allude to the particular strategy that centers on verbal abilities, but it can moreover be connected more broadly to a family of related strategies based on move from introduction through controlled hone to free generation.

The teacher may utilize content, an audiotape, or visual fabric makes a difference to appear the circumstance. From this she will extricate the fundamental dialect shapes. The point is to form beyond any doubt the understudies get it the setting and get them to think approximately it. You'll jab understudies for thoughts or proposals, empower them to conversation to each other around what they know or think approximately a circumstance, etc. This too makes a difference them begin recollecting the dialect and vocabulary they already know around a subject (or 'activate schemata' on the off chance that you would like a favor term for that).

Although the PPP model as a deductive and explicit approach to teaching grammar has received much criticism (e.g., Tomlinson, Dat, Masuhara, & Rubdy, 2001) [1], there has been increasing support over the `reuse` of the PPP approach. Norris and Ortega (2000) [2]. and Spada and Yasuyo (2010) [3]. conducted meta-analysis research studies comparing the effectiveness between the expect and implicit approaches to teaching grammar. Both of the studies found that research studies in general have been in favour of explicit instructions and reported that explicit approaches to teaching grammar were not found to be less effective than implicit techniques. Note that some writers [4] use the name to refer to a specific method that focuses on oral skills, but it can also be applied more broadly to a family of related methods which rely on the progression from presentation, through controlled practice, to free production.

The support of the PPP-type lesson is further emphasized by Anderson (2016) [5]. who claimed that the PPP model is especially effective for classes over 30 students with learners having the same native language and only with a few hours of instruction per week. Considering that the contexts of the classes of the current research participants are similar to the types of contexts that Anderson claimed to be appropriate for a more explicit instruction type of techniques to teaching grammar, the researchers hypothesised that the PPP could be beneficial to help their students learn grammar more effectively. Thus, the current research aimed to explore how the PPP model as the dependent variable could affect students` mastery in learning subject-verb agreement as the independent variable.

The comes about of the consider appear to appear that the PPP show is compelling in creating students` authority of subject-verb understanding. Hence, the current discoveries back other past ponders (Norris & Ortega, 2000) (Spada & Yasuyo, 2010) (Anderson, 2016) that have appeared the viability of a deductive and unequivocal approach to educating linguistic use. The current consider did not point to compare the distinction between unequivocal and verifiable instruction for linguistic use classes; hence, the discoveries did not expected to form any endeavors to claim whether one sort of enlightening is more compelling than the other. In any case, it seem ended up prove that the PPP demonstrate is no less successful than other informational that center more upon certain approaches (Tomlinson, Dat, Masuhara, & Rubdy, 2001). It can be said that PPP is an viable way to instruct, since it makes planning simple and it can be executed by moderately unpracticed instructors.

REFERENCES

1. Tomlinson, B., Dat, B., Masuhara, H., & Rubdy, R. (2001). EFL courses for adults. *ELT Journal*, 55(1), 80-101.
2. Norris, J. M., & Ortega, L. (2000). Effectiveness of L2 instruction: A research synthesis and quantitative meta-analysis. *Language Learning*, 50(3), 417-528.
3. Spada, N., & Yasuyo, T. (2010). Interactions between type of instruction and type of language feature: A meta-analysis. *Language Learning*, 60(2), 263-308.
4. Harmer, J. 2009. *The Practice of English Language Teaching* (4th edn). Harlow: Longman. pp. 64 et seq.
5. Anderson, J. (2016). Why practice makes perfect sense: the past, present and potential future of the PPP paradigm in language teacher education. *ELT Education and Development*, 19(1), 14-22.
6. Amanova N.F Amanova F.F (2022) Malum bir maqsadga qaratilgan va maxsuslashgan til. <https://conf.iscience.uz/index.php/yumti/article/view/118/110>
7. Amanova Nodirabegim Furkatovna. (2022). EFFECTIVE METHOD OF TEACHING. *Conference Zone*, 53–55. Retrieved from <http://www.conferencezone.org/index.php/cz/article/view/124>